UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,548	12/02/2004	Leonardus Joseph Michael Ruitenburg	NL 020540	5731
65913 <b>NXP</b> , B.V.	7590 11/17/200	8	EXAM	INER
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE			HU, RUI MENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131		2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,548	RUITENBURG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RuiMeng Hu	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the accomposition of the separate of the separa	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR
 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on
 07/08/2008.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 07/08/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has added a new limitation "wherein the ADC means is configured to store an amplification setting of the discretely controlled amplifying means relative to a first radio-frequency (RF) input level and the digital receiver signal strength indication in a memory device, wherein the stored amplification setting is configured to serve as a reference to tune the circuit for a subsequent RF input level", however this new limitation is not supported by the specification of present invention, and it is considered as a new matter, hence it is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, see below under *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112* for detail explanation. Consequently, the previous rejection is maintained.

## Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,548

Art Unit: 2618

4. **Claims 1, 3 and 4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 3

Claim 1 recites the newly added limitation "wherein the ADC means is configured to store an amplification setting of the discretely controlled amplifying means relative to a first radio-frequency (RF) input level and the digital receiver signal strength indication in a memory device, wherein the stored amplification setting is configured to serve as a reference to tune the circuit for a subsequent RF input level", Applicant pointed out page 2 lines 12-20, and page 4 lines 1-9 of specification supports such limitation, and the specification of present invention discloses that the ADC means converts receiver signal strength indication (RSSI) (output of log detector) to digital receiver signal strength indication (digital RSSI), and the digital RSSI can be stored in a memory. However the specification fails to specifically mention or support "wherein the ADC means is configured to store an amplification setting of the discretely controlled amplifying means relative to a first radio-frequency (RF) input level and the digital receiver signal strength indication in a memory device", further a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the ADC means only converts analog signals to digital signals. Therefore, claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and the previous rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 2618

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lampe et al. (US Patent 5852772) in view of Ryan et al. (US Patent 7151759) and Toshida et al. (US Patent 5613232).

Consider **claim 1**, Lampe clearly disclose a receiver signal strength indication circuit (figure 4) receiving a discretely controlled amplified signal from an amplifying means (figure 4, amplifying means 64), the circuit comprising: filter means (figure 4, active band-pass filter 72) coupled to an output of the discretely controlled amplifying means, logarithmic detector means (figure 4, log detector 76) for receiving and logarithmically amplifying an output of the filter.

However, Lampe et al. fail to disclose a narrow filter and said narrow filter means providing a limited spectrum of the input signal; and ADC means for converting the output of the logarithmic detector to a digital receiver signal strength indication.

In the same field of endeavor, Ryan et al. clearly disclose a receiver signal strength indication circuit (column 21 lines 48-61, figure 12) receiving a discretely controlled amplified signal from an amplifying means comprising a narrow filter (narrow filter 1203) for filtering a received signal to be detected by a RSSI log detector (RSSI log detector 430) and said narrow filter means providing a limited spectrum of the input signal (to completely remove an adjacent channel wave) for accurately detecting the received signal level of the desired wave.

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Ryan et al. into the receiver signal strength indication furnishing means of Lampe et al. as to

include the narrow filter 1203 prior to the log detector 76 as for completely removing an adjacent channel wave and accurately detecting the received signal level of the desired wave.

In the same field of endeavor, Toshida et al. clearly disclose an ADC means for converting the output of the signal level detector to a digital receiver signal strength indication (figure 1, A/D converter 20, signal level detector 19, Abstract) as easily and safely to store and access as well as for displaying purpose.

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Toshida et al into the receiver signal strength indication furnishing means of Lampe et al. as to include an ADC means to convert the RSSI data into digital RSSI data to be easily and safely stored and accessed as well as for displaying purpose.

Consider **claim 3 as applied to claim 1**, Lampe et al. as modified by Ryan et al. and Toshida et al. clearly disclose wherein the amplifying means include selectivity filtering means <u>connected between the discretely controlled amplifying means and the logarithmic detector means</u> (figure 8, first filter 72 or second filter 84 connected between the amplifying means 64 and the log detector 76).

Consider **claim 4** as applied to claim 1, Lampe et al. as modified by Ryan et al. and Toshida et al. clearly disclose wherein the amplifying means include a mixer (figure 8, mixer 66).

#### Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed

Art Unit: 2618

**to:** Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RuiMeng Hu whose telephone number is 571-270-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RuiMeng Hu R.H./rh November 03, 2008

Art Unit: 2618

/Edward Urban/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618